

**AGENDA**  
Baltimore City Civilian Review Board  
**REGULAR MEETING**  
April 15, 2021  
Place: Enabled by Cisco WebEx  
6:00-8:00 pm

**I. Welcome & call to order**

**II. Review and approval of agenda**

**III. Review and approval of minutes**

**IV. Director's Report: Dana P. Moore, Chief Equity Officer & Director**

**V. New Complaints:**

- A. **2021-0007:** Filed 2/15/2021 alleging that the Complainant was on his way to work when he was pulled over for not having a tag light. The Complainant followed the officers' directions and gave his information to them and rolled down all four windows twice. The officers then asked the Complainant to get out of the car, which he did. Then the officers started to search the Complainant's car without his consent. The officers stated they could search the car due to "exigent circumstances." The Complainant lodges a complaint with the CRB that he was racially profiled, his rights were violated, and he experienced emotional distress. He was afraid for his life during the interaction.
- B. **2021-0070:** Filed 3/24/2021 alleging that on 2/8/2021 the Complainant and his intimate partner were having a fight and the cops showed up. An officer cuffed the Complainant and took him to Central Booking, even though the officer told the Complainant that he was taking him to the police station. The handcuffs were much too tight and the Complainant kept asking that they be loosened, but the officer did not loosen them. The Complainant now has a cyst on his wrist and has to see a hand surgeon. The prosecution is still pursuing a case against the Complainant, even though his partner withdrew her complaint.

**VI. Completed Cases:**

- A. **2018-0162/2018-0636:** Complaint filed 2/4/2019 for Harassment against an unknown Baltimore police officer. The complaint alleges that on 10/17/2019 at approximately 3:00 PM the Complainant and his wife were in a car accident. When an officer arrived at the scene of the accident, the Complainant alleges that the officer was "indigent" and "argumentative." The Complainant alleges that the officer accused the Complainant's wife of making a derogatory statement, did not call for emergency services, and was hostile. The officer told the Complainant to "do what I tell you." Body worn camera footage was provided, which captured the officer's interaction with the Complainant and the scene of the accident.
- B. **2020-0016/2020-0042:** Complaint filed 3/21/2020 for Excessive Force against three named Baltimore Police Officers. The Complainant alleges that on 7/27/2019, the Complainant had a fight with her parents and she asked her parents to call the police. When the police arrived, they were "extremely brutal and aggressive." The officer pulled the Complainant out of the closet by her leg, they handcuffed her, wouldn't loosed the

**AGENDA**  
Baltimore City Civilian Review Board  
**REGULAR MEETING**  
April 15, 2021  
Place: Enabled by Cisco WebEx  
6:00-8:00 pm

handcuffs when she was in pain, and pushed her head into a couch. The Complainant's ankles were strapped and she was taken to Johns Hopkins Hospital for a mental health evaluation. Body-worn camera footage of this incident was also provided.

- C. **2020-0038/2020-1059**: Complaint filed on 10/21/2020 for Harassment and False Imprisonment against several named and unnamed Baltimore Police Department officers. The Complainant alleges that on 10/6/2020 the Complainant was delivering for Door Dash when the Complainant went into a restaurant to pickup an order. When he was exiting the restaurant, he saw several officers had approached his vehicle. The officers stated that they were checking on the passenger who was inside of the vehicle. The officers approach the passenger-side window, taped on it with a flashlight, and asked the passenger if he is okay. The passenger responds in the affirmative. During this time, the Complainant is walking out of the restaurant asking the officers what they want. After a brief exchange, the Complainant attempted to drive away. However, the officers stopped him and asked for his keys. The officers put their heads inside of the car to ask the passenger if he is okay, how much "weed" he has on him, and if he has any weapons. The passenger responds that he has a knife. The officers pat him down and put the knife on the hood of the car. The officers ask both men for their names and ID and they refuse. The Complainant repeatedly asks the officers what they want. An officer then asks, "How much weed is in the car?" The officers point a flashlight into the car and say they can see residue and they can smell it. The officers begin to search the Complainant's car, the Complainant records with his phone. The officers said they were searching because of the odor of marijuana and the odor provides probable cause to search the car. The reason the car was approached in the first place was to check on the passenger and make sure he was okay. Which he said he was when they first asked. One of the officers seemingly aggressively points his finger in the Complainant's face. Then the officers say the Complainant parked too far away from the curb, which is why they approached the car. One of the officers put a couple of things in his pocket; the Complainant asked him what it was. The officer said marijuana. The Complainant finally gives the officers his ID so he can get a citizen contact report and leave. The officers proceed to have a disrespectful verbal exchange with the Complainant. The Complainant has had three previous interactions with three of these officers. *Pacheco v. Maryland*, 459 Md. 171 (2018). Body-worn camera footage was provided. The PIB case is complete.

- VII. Old Business**
- VIII. New Business**
- IX. Adjournment**